Rodgers Muscle Fatigue Analysis

The Muscle Fatigue Analysis was proposed by Rodgers as a means to assess the amount of fatigue that accumulates in muscles during various work patterns within 5 minutes of work. The hypothesis was that a rapidly fatiguing muscle is more susceptible to injury and inflammation. With this in mind, if fatigue can be minimized, so should injuries and illnesses of the active muscles. This method for job analysis is most appropriate to evaluate the risk for fatigue accumulation in tasks that are performed for an hour or more and where awkward postures or frequent exertions are present. Based on the risk of fatigue, a Priority for Change can be assigned to the task.

Using the task identification sheet, divide a job into tasks and determine what percent of the shift each task is done. Identify which tasks are perceived as "difficult" by people on the job. Do the analysis on the primary tasks performed (those done for more than 10% of the shift) and on any tasks considered "difficult", no matter how much of the job they constitute.

Use a separate Task sheet for each task. For a task and for each body region, assess the three job risk factors by assigning each factor a rating by category. The task data sheet provides a format for this process. Descriptions of Effort Levels for the different body regions, Continuous (single) Effort Duration and Effort Frequency are provided on the data collection form. Within a body region, once an Effort Level is chosen to represent the task, the assignment of Continuous Effort Time and Efforts per Minute should be associated with the chosen effort. Notes: If the effort level is high enough that most workers cannot accomplish it, if the continuous effort duration is greater than 30 sec, or if the frequency is greater than 15 / min, then there is sufficient reason to assign a Very High priority for change.

The Priority for Change is found by locating the combination of scores in the various categories in the table on task identification data sheet. Note: A combination of 3 and 3 for Duration and Frequency is not possible. The table provides an indication of relative risk for fatigue within a category. The earlier the combination of categories is in the list the lower the fatigue should be (i.e., it is better).

References

Suzanne H. Rodgers, A functional job evaluation technique, in <u>Ergonomics</u>, edited by J. S. Moore and A. Garg, *Occupational Medicine: State of the Art Reviews*. 7(4):679-711, 1992.

Phone: 716-544-3587

SHRODGERS@aol.com

Fax: 716-266-8749

Suzanne H. Rodgers, Job evaluation in worker fitness determination; *Occupational Medicine: State of the Art Reviews.* 3(2):219-239, 1988.

Contact Information: Suzanne H. Rodgers, Ph.D. Consultant in Ergonomics 169 Huntington Hills Rochester NY 14622-1121

Rodgers Muscle Fatigue Analysis - Task Identification

Job	Analyst		Date /	/
Task		% Shift Time	Considered Difficult	Change Priority
1.			☐ Yes	
2.			Yes	
3.			☐ Yes	
4.			Yes	
5.			Yes	
6.			Yes	
7.			☐ Yes	
8.			☐ Yes	

Category Scores Grouped by Priority for Change in the Order of Effort, Continuous Effort Duration and Frequency

The following table ranks the combinations of scores in increasing potential for fatigue, and, thereby, in increasing priority for change. The least fatiguing combinations are at the top left side of the table and the highest are at the end of the list on the right side of the table. When a solution is chosen to improve the work, it is important to rate the new task with the same tool to be sure the fatigue has been dropped to a lower level.

Low (L)	Moderate (M)	High (H)	Very High (VH)
111	123	223	323
112	132	313	331
113	213	321	332
211	222	322	4xx, x4x, xx4*
121	231		
212	232		
311	312		
122			
131			
221			

^{*}A category of 4 for Effort Level, Continuous Effort Duration or Frequency is automatically Very High (VH)

Rodgers Muscle Fatigue Analysis by Task

]	「as	k

	Effort Level (If the effort cannot be exerted by most people, enter 4 for Effort and VH for Priority)				Scores			Priority		
Region	Lig	sht 1	Modera	ate 2	Heavy 3		Effort	Dur	Freq	
Neck	Head to partly t back or forward	o side, slightly	Head turned to side; head fully back; head forward about 20°		Same as Moderate by with force of weight; head stretched for	r d				
Shoulders		rom sides; stended me	Arms away from body, no support; working overhead		Exerting for holding wei with arms a from body of overhead	ght way	Right Left			
Back		g to side or g arching	Bending forward; no load; lifting moderately heavy loads near body; working overhead Lifting or exerting force while twisting high force or loads while bending		ng; r load					
Arms / 1 Elbow		Arms away from body, no load;		High forces exerted with		Right				
	light forces lifting near body while exerti moderate for				ing	Left				
Wrists / Hands /	weights handled	d close to	narrow spa moderate	Grips with wide or narrow span; Pinch grips; strong wrist			Right			
Fingers	body; straight angles, especially wrists; flexion; use of comfortable power grips gloves with moderate forces		se of h	angles; slippery surfaces		Left				
Legs / Knees	Standing, walking without bending or leaning; weight on both feet Bending forward, learning on table; weight on one side; pivoting while exerting force		n table;			Right				
			crouching while exerting force		Left					
Ankles / Feet / Toes	Standing, walking without bending or leaning; weight on both feet Bending for leaning on t weight on o side; pivotin while exertiforce		table; one ing exercing night force while pulling or lifting; crouching while		Right					
					hile	Left				
Continuous Effort < 6 Duration 1			6 - 20 s 2		20	20 - 30 s > 30 s 4 (Enter VH for		Priority)		
Effort Frequency < 1 /			1 - 5 / min > 5		5 - 15 / min > 15 / min 3 4 (Enter VH for Pr					